

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/898,484	07/05/2001	Simon Paul Davis	3036/50061	3036/50061 8451	
7590 08/22/2005			EXAMINER		
CROWELL & MORING LLP P.O. Box 14300			TSEGAYE, SABA		
Washington, De			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2662		

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>,</b>					
	Ap	plication No.	Applicant(s)				
		/898,484	DAVIS ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		aminer	Art Unit				
	Sal	oa Tsegaye	2662				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS  - Extensions of time may be available undensities of the may be available undensities of the period for reply specified above is to the period for reply specified above is to the period for reply specified.	COMMUNICATION. er the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). date of this communication. ess than thirty (30) days, a reply within the maximum statutory period will app d period for reply will, by statute, cause in three months after the mailing date	In no event, however, may a reply be to the statutory minimum of thirty (30) do by and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro the application to become ABANDON	timely filed  ays will be considered timely.  m the mailing date of this communication.  IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1) Responsive to communic	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 May 2005</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	∑ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
·— · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance wil	th the practice under Ex pa	rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-23</u> is/are per	☑ Claim(s) <u>14-23</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s)	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are all	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-23</u> is/are rej	ected.		·				
7) Claim(s) is/are ob	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subj	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is object	ted to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is	s objected to by the Examir	ner. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of	the priority documents have	ve been received in Applica	ition No				
3. ☐ Copies of the certi	fied copies of the priority d	ocuments have been recei	ved in this National Stage				
application from th	ne International Bureau (PC	CT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed	Office action for a list of th	e certified copies not receiv	ved.				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-89	2)	4) Interview Summa	ry (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drav	ving Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/05/01</u> .	Patent Application (PTO-152)						

Application/Control Number: 09/898,484

Art Unit: 2662

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Amendment

1. This Office Action is in response to amendment filed 5/09/05. Claims 14-23 are pending. Currently no claims are in condition for allowance.

## Specification

2. The attorney's docket number indicated on page 3 (line 18-19) should be deleted as it is not relevant to the application. See MPEP 608.01.

## **Drawings**

3. Figures 1 and 2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 14 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Calamvokis (US 6,735,212) in view of Brandis et al. (US 6,654,343).

Art Unit: 2662

Regarding claims 14 and 17, Calamvokis discloses, in Figs. 1-3, a switching arrangement having: a crossbar (104); a plurality of ingress means (102, 302) connected to an input side of the cross bar (104); a plurality of egress means (102) connected to an output side of the cross-bar (104); an ingress schedule storing means (204-I, 306, 308, 106) for storing a plurality of transmission queue identities (the source PP 204 determines the destination queue from the LCS header. The source PP 204 then instructs the source data slices 202-I where to store the cell), an egress schedule storing means (106, 204-O) for storing a plurality of ingress identities (the scheduler sends a routing tag to each of the egress port 102-O; the routing tag indicates to the ports which of the many source ports will be sending a cell) (column 4, lines 47-67); a management card (106, 108) which communicates configuration primitives to each of the plurality of ingress means and to each of the plurality of egress means, the configuration primitives providing updated entries for ingress and egress schedule storing means (column 4, lines 12-21; column 5, lines 43-64); whereby at each cell transmit time, a cell transmitted from a referenced transmission queue in the ingress means is received at the egress means from the referenced ingress identity (column 4, lines 32-67).

However, Calamvokis does not expressly disclose that each ingress means and egress means are connected to a respective scheduler.

Brandis teaches, in Figs. 3 and 4, ingress 300 and an egress 400. The ingress 300 includes multiple flow queues and an ingress scheduler 205. The egress includes multiple egress queues and an egress scheduler 215. Further, Brandis suggests that there may be multiple ingress schedulers 205 and they may transfer cells from multiple packets across the switch fabric 210 simultaneously (column 4, lines 35-39).

Art Unit: 2662

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Calamvokis ingress and egress means to connect to a respective scheduler, as suggested by Brandis. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Calamvokis discloses that each port 102 has one port processor 204-I and six or seven DS 202 devices, therefore, adding a respective scheduler to each ingress and egress means, signals would have been processed simultaneously and that increases transmission speed and provide a higher overall throughput.

Further, Calamvokis does not disclose ingress pointer means for referencing one of the stored pluralities of transmission queue identities and egress pointer means for referencing one of the stored pluralities of ingress identities from which data is to be received to manage the contents of each ingress schedule storing means and each egress schedule storing means.

Brandis teaches that the transmissions by the ingress scheduler 205 and receptions by the egress scheduler 215 are synchronized. Further, Brandis teaches that each flow queue stores one or more flows. Pointers may be used to determine a beginning of each flow, and each flow has a priority level (see fig 3). Fig. 4 shows queues in the egress. Flows of the same priority level (for example flow 318 in fig. 3) are stored in the same egress queue (for example the egress queue 420).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add a pointer, such as that suggested by Brandis, in the scheduler of Calamvokis in order to avoid delays. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been that Calamvokis discloses that the source PP 204-I determines the destination queue from the LCS header and then instructs the source data slices 202-I where to store the cell. The source PP 204-I also

informs the scheduler 106 that a new cell has arrived so that the scheduler may add it to the list

the location of the cells would determine a beginning and an end of each cells and that enables

of cells waiting to be forwarded through the crossbar 104. Therefore combing the pointer with

connection-oriented-like QoS functionality.

Regarding claims 18 and 19, Brandis discloses moving the ingress pointer and the egress pointer to a next location (column 5, lines 57-60).

5. Claims 15, 16 and 20-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Calamvokis in view of Brandis et al. as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Chang et al. (US 6,704,312).

Calamvokis in view of Brandis discloses all the claim limitations as stated above, except for calculating crossbar rates according to current traffic load and quality of service.

Chang teaches a packet switching apparatus and method with rate guarantees (based on traffic load and quality of service) using bandwidth decomposition (column 2, lines 24-52, column 9, lines 56-65).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Calamvokis in view of Brandis apparatus to calculate crossbar rates according to current traffic load and quality of service, as taught by Chang. The motivation is a more accurate and efficient system that used to control the buffering and enqueuing methods to achieve, a measure of throughput balance or fairness among flows, thus managing switch bandwidth as efficiently as possible as shown by Chang on column 9, lines 56-65.

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 14-23 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Saba Tsegaye whose telephone number is (571) 272-3091. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00), First Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on (571) 272-3088. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/898,484 Page 7

Art Unit: 2662

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ST August 18, 2005

JOHN PEZZLO
PRIMARY EXAMINER